UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,915	10/06/2005	Karl-Gunnar Karlsson	05088	8711
	7590 02/07/201 CHULTZ & MACDOI	EXAMINER		
1727 KING STREET SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3783	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/07/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/540,915	KARLSSON, KARL-GUNNAR			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARGUERITE J. MCMAHON	3783			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under It</li> </ol>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-18</u> is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5-14</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Claims 15-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 1/26/10.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stella et al (6,047,670) in view of Henrichsen et al (2003/0101701) and Pearce et al (2002/0187703). Note a device for filtering particles from and reducing pressure of air that builds up in a crankcase of an operating internal combustion engine, a filter container having an upstream air inlet 16 including means for connection to the crankcase to remove air under pressure and oil therefrom, and a downstream air outlet 18 including means for connection to the inlet manifold to supply filtered air thereto, the air outlet being disposed at a level above the liquid outlet, a filter means 20 comprising at least one wall of fibrous material running between upper and lower ends of the filter container over the entire height of the filter container, separating the container thereby into an inlet chamber comprising the inlet, and an outlet chamber comprising the air

Art Unit: 3783

outlet, the liquid outlet 24 being disposed in a lower portion of the inlet chamber and separate from the air outlet, the liquid outlet receiving particles which fall from the filter means and oil from the crankcase and comprising means for connection to the crankcase to return the oil and particles collected by the filter thereto, wherein the filter container has a fixed position in relation to the internal combustion engine, and the filter container has a predetermined angle in relation to the engine.

Stella et al show everything except the fibrous material of the filter comprising needled or thermally bonded fibers, wherein the fibrous material is comprised of fiber mats, in which the fibers have a diameter of 1-40 microns, and the liquid outlet being in the inlet chamber.

Henrichsen et al teach that it is old in the art to utilize thermal bonding to bond the fibers together into fibrous mats, the fibers having a diameter of 1-40 microns (see paragraphs 12 and 29). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Stella et al by utilizing a filter comprising thermally bonded fibers having a diameter of 1-40 microns, in order to aid in shaping the filter into the needed shape, and as cited by Pearce et al in paragraph 10, thermal bonding enhances the strength characteristics of the material. In addition, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to locate the liquid outlet in the inlet chamber, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Claims 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARGUERITE J. MCMAHON whose telephone number

is (571)272-4848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 10am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cuff can be reached on 571-272-6778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marguerite McMahon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3783

/Marguerite McMahon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3783